

# **Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/044,848

Applicant(s)

PATHAK ET AL.

Examiner

Amy E Pulliam

Art Unit

1615

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Amy E Pulliam.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Wayne Dustman.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 30 May 2002.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

## Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

### Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

#### Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

##### Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

#### 37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check the appropriate box at the bottom of the Form which informs the applicant that the submission of a separate record of the substance of the interview as a supplement to the Form is not required.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,  
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

#### Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner phoned the attorney for clarification on documents which were filed by the applicant. It was discovered that the terminal disclaimer, declaration, and preliminary statement were not received by the office. The attorney agreed to fax these to the examiner. Additionally, the examiner asked the attorney for guidance on the information regarding the litigation of Patent 6,113,944, which was filed in an IDS to the examiner. The attorney stated, and confirmed again the next day, that the litigation was fairly straight forward, and that the arguments being dealt with in the litigation proceedings closely related to the arguments occurring in the prosecution of the current application. Additionally, the attorney assured the examiner that although the arguments presented in the litigation have a more negative connotation, (being the nature of litigation), that there were no significant arguments present in those proceedings which is not also being dealt with in this application.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/411,764	10-4-99	Pathak et al.	P30835DIN201

EXAMINER
A. Fulliam

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1615	19

DATE MAILED:

## EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Amy Fulliam (3) Wayne Dushman  
(2) Gellamudi Kishore (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview January 10, 2002Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.Claims discussed: of recordIdentification of prior art discussed: of record

It would appear that Barnes is the closest reference.  
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner

recommended that applicant file a declaration showing patentable differences b/w gaps product (tablet) & the tablet of the prior art, & showing that the differences are a result of the dry granulation process. App must also show that the unexpected results

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.


Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

are the same irrespective of the  
PTOL-413 (REV. 2-93) is current work  
Received from < 610 270 5090 > at 5/30/02 5:29:15 PM [Eastern Daylight Time]

Examiner's Signature

**FAX**

**To** Examiner A. Pulliam  
.....  
**Company** U.S. Patent & Trademark Office  
.....  
**Fax** (703) 746-4997  
.....  
**From** Wayne J. Dustman   
.....  
**Tel** 610-270-5023  
.....  
**E-mail**  
.....  
**Date** May 30, 2002 **Pages including cover** 14  
.....  
**Subject** U.S. Application No. 10/044,848  
.....

GlaxoSmithKline  
Corporate Intellectual Property  
709 Swedeland Road  
Post Office Box 1539  
King of Prussia, PA  
19406-0939

Tel: 610-270-4800  
Fax: 610-270-5090  
www.gsk.com

Examiner Pulliam,

Per our conversation of today, please find transmitted herewith copies of the following documents.

- i) A copy of the undersigned attorney's preliminary statement. This document is stamped as being received by the Tech Center 1600/2900 on May 9, 2002. This document is also signed as being received by the Tech Center 1600/2900. (3 pages)
- ii) A copy of a terminal disclaimer. This document is stamped as being received by the Tech Center 1600/2900 on May 9, 2002. This document is also signed as being received by the Tech Center 1600/2900. (3 pages)
- iii) A copy of the declaration of Dr. David Doughty. (4 pages)
- iv) A copy of the Examiner Interview Summary Record for the parent case (US Application 09/411,764. (one page)
- v) A copy of the return receipt post card which is stamped on the front side as being received by the Tech Center 1600/2900 on May 9, 2002. This document is also signed as being received by the Tech Center 1600/2900. (2 pages)

Please contact me if I can be of any further assistance in this matter.

The information contained in these documents is confidential and may also be privileged and is intended for the exclusive use of the addressee designated above. If you are not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, any disclosure, reproduction, distribution, or any other dissemination or use of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error please contact us immediately by telephone so that we can arrange for its return.